

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration and allowance are requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 13-16, 18, 23, and 26-30 under 35 U.S.C. §102 for anticipation based on Terry. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The undersigned appreciates the courtesies extended during a telephone interview with Examiners Beyen and Mattis on April 21, 2010. The distinctions of the independent claims 1 and 15 from Terry were discussed along with some additional wording. For claim 1, the phrase “of the UE” was added to make explicit what was understood that the protocol layers are implemented by circuitry with the UE. In addition, claims 1 and 15 make clear that the “header of a radio link control data unit” is a radio link control header by now reciting “a radio link control header of a radio link control data unit.” None of these amendments is a narrowing amendment. Agreement was reached with Examiners Beyen and Mattis that with these amendments, the rejections based on Terry would be overcome.


Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the last response and during the interview, the rejections should be withdrawn and the application allowed. An early notice to that effect is solicited.

Johan TORSNER  
Appl. No. 10/572,683  
April 30, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John R. Lastova  
Reg. No. 33,149

JRL:maa  
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100